

Final Decision Regarding Relocation of Retail Services in Venice, California

In accordance with the procedures set forth at 39 C.F.R. § 241.4(c)(6), this is the final decision of the Postal Service with respect to the relocation of retail services from the Venice, California Main Post Office at 1601 Main Street to the Venice Carrier Annex at 313 Grand Boulevard. The Postal Service announced its decision to relocate retail services on July 18, 2011 and subsequently received requests for review from several postal customers. I have carefully considered all the concerns expressed by our customers in each of the requests for review and other correspondence along with the complete project file relating to the relocation proposal. While I am sympathetic to some of the concerns raised, for the reasons set forth below, I will not set aside the Postal Service's prior decision.

Postal customers raised concerns about impacts the Postal Service's decision to relocate retail services might have on (1) historic resources and (2) the surrounding environment, specifically traffic and parking impacts within a coastal zone and in the residential neighborhood around the Venice Carrier Annex. Each of these issues is addressed below.

I. Historic Resources

The Venice Main Post Office was constructed in 1939 and is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. An oil-on-canvas mural entitled "Story of Venice" by artist Edward Biberman is currently on display in the lobby. Several customers expressed concern that the building and/or mural would not be preserved.

Section 106 of National Historic Preservation Act ("NHPA") requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their proposed undertakings on historic properties, and when such effects are possible, to initiate and complete the Section 106 consultation process. Section 106 review ensures that federal agencies consider historic properties, along with other factors such as cost and agency mission, in the planning process of proposed undertakings. However, the preservation of every historic property is not the goal of Section 106, nor does Section 106 require a business to continue to operate in a historic property even if doing so causes the business to become unprofitable.

The relocation of retail services is not an "undertaking" within the meaning of Section 106. An undertaking is a "project, activity or program" that can result in changes in the character or use of historic properties. The relocation of retail services does not alter the character of the Venice Main Post Office building or the mural. Nor does it change the uses that can be made of the property. There will be no "undertaking" within the meaning of NHPA until the Postal Service adopts a plan for the reuse of the Venice Main Post Office or the transfer of the Post Office building from Postal Service ownership to private ownership. The

Postal Service will initiate the Section 106 consultation process when it develops plans for the reuse or disposal of the property, and the City of Venice will be a consulting party. The Postal Service will include measures to ensure the mural will remain available for public viewing in any plan for reuse or disposal of the Post Office property.

II. Traffic and Parking

The Venice Main Post Office will be relocated 400 feet to the Venice Carrier Annex. The relocation will not result in any negative environmental impacts, nor will it be inconsistent with the policies of the California Coastal Act. The Carrier Annex can accommodate retail counters and Post Office Boxes without expansion of the building. While trips will be 400 feet shorter or longer depending on the direction from which vehicles are traveling, there will be no rerouting of traffic as a result of the relocation. Although several customers expressed concerns about a parking shortage in the area, this situation should not be exacerbated by the relocation of the Venice Main Post Office since the Carrier Annex property includes an on-site parking lot for postal vehicles and will be restriped to accommodate additional parking spaces for our customers.

III. Balancing the Impact on the Community and the Best Interests of the Postal Service

While the Postal Service is not insensitive to the impact of this decision on its customers and the Venice community, the relocation of the Venice Main Post Office is in the best interest of the Postal Service. The Venice Carrier Annex can accommodate the retail counters and Post Office Boxes without expansion of the building. Relocation of the carriers from the Venice Carrier Annex to the Venice Main Post Office was considered, but rejected because the Venice Main Post Office has insufficient parking to accommodate additional operations and insufficient platform space to accommodate tractor/trailer mail delivery. I have also taken into account the comments regarding the physical appearance of the Annex. The Postal Service will realize an annual cost savings of \$135,498 by moving retail services into the Venice Carrier Annex. The annual cost savings takes into consideration the cost of relocation, which is offset by savings from utilities and maintenance labor.

In reaching this decision, I considered all of the public input received but the objections expressed do not outweigh the financial exigencies facing the Postal Service. With current projections for declining mail volume, and the financial condition of the Postal Service, the Postal Service must make any feasible change to reduce costs. As our customers are no doubt aware, the Postal Service is funded by the sales of its services and products. It has an obligation to match its retail and distribution networks to the demand for its services from customers.

Accordingly, I conclude that there is no basis to set aside the decision to relocate the Venice Main Post Office, 1601 Main Street, to the Venice Carrier Annex, 313 Grand Boulevard. This is the final decision of the Postal Service with respect to this matter, and there is no right to further administrative or judicial review of this decision.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'DAVID E. WILLIAMS', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

David E. Williams
Vice President, Network Operations